



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,270	08/28/2000	Lawrence A. Crawl	SUN1P380/P4501	6759
22434	7590	02/14/2006	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			VU, TUAN A	
P.O. BOX 70250			ART UNIT	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			PAPER NUMBER	

2193

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/649,270	CROWL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) Fred Mollhorn.
 (2) Lawrence Crowl. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant has put forth what is perceived as different from the way prior art has approached the compression scheme of data. Applicant has put emphasis on the discovery of some specifics of the source code which become predetermined basis or insight as to determining the common scope/patterns used in the differential encoding. Accordingly, this insight would help alleviate additional effort seen in most prior art--thereby expedite the targeted the compression scheme. The Examiner has reminded that all this teaching has to be explicitly disclosed in the specs and reflected in the claims; and noted that there is not sufficient description or distinct enablement about how this predetermination is made from reading the specifications; and Examiner has asked if Applicant can adjust the claimed invention so to put forth this teaching. Applicant has agreed to do some research in the ORIGINAL specs to go about this. Examiner has stated that only upon the claim being amended and resubmitted can a thorough examination be effectuated for patentability determination..